

DWH – RESPONSE MODELING ACTIVITIES?









DOES THAT INFORM MODELING FOR THE ARCTIC?

NOAA National Ocean Service Office of Response and Restoration Emergency Response Division

Overview of Modeling Activities to Support Response

Tactical (72 hour) forecasts - surface

5 day outlook/2-week forecast

Operations 48 hour forecast - subsurface

Statistical Analysis

Considerations for Success

Coordination of observations and forecasting/modeling

Planning/Engagement prior to incident

Continuing Challenges

Data and model assimilation/integration

Deep blowout dynamics/droplet size distribution

Understanding and communicating uncertainty

Acknowledgements

Many thanks for slides and modeling work provided by:

- Members of OR&R modeling team (Glen Watabayashi, Amy MacFadyen, Chris Barker, CJ Beegle-Krause, Jerry Galt)
- Other NOAA Modeling Support (Rich Patchen, Lyon Lanerolle, Scott Cross, NWS)
- Hydrodynamic Model Contributors (NOAA, Navy, USF, UNC, TAMU..)
- Other operational modeling support (Sintef, Clarkson University, ASA)



Continuum of "Response"

Response

Restoration -Recovery







Forecasting vs Modeling

Trajectory Analysis is using the observed data and model information to develop a forecast of oil movement over some period of time.

Models are used to track movement of particles that behave like air (weather models), water (hydrodynamic models) or oil (trajectory model).

For modeling the Deepwater Horizon MC-252 oil, the trajectory model GNOME (General NOAA Operational Modeling Environment) was used with observational data and weather and hydro model as input.

Weathering – Oil Fate



Plume Dynamics

Clarkson Deepwater Oil and Gas blowout model (C-DOG)

Sintef Deep Blow

- 1. Plume escapes from well head with thermal and mechanical buoyancy dominating
- Near plume separation, droplet buoyancy dominates movement; small particles (<60 microns) stay in deep layer (1000-1300m), large particles rise quickly (>1 millimeter)



No dispersant case



70% dispersed case

20% dispersed case

Distance East init

CDOG modeling done in May





What are the daily steps?

Review model data (currents, wind)

Review observational data (currents, winds, oil distribution)

Put relevant information together in GNOME

Run model, review and distribute results

Where do the modeled currents come from?

Several models are reviewed daily for both coastal and offshore areas

Each model is suited more for some circumstances than others (i.e., some do better on the shelf, some are better for offshore)

The model best suited for the conditions of the forecast period is selected as the primary current model for that day; the other models are used to help derive the "uncertainty" bound.

**What current models exist for the Arctic?



NOAA Gulf of Mexico Model 6/29/10 1900 CDT

Where did the observed currents come from?

- Moored ADCPs
- Fast Eddy2 Vessel
- HF Radar (Alabama)
- Satellite SSH and SST analysis
- Drifters
- TABS Buoys



Development Drill 3 Discoverer Enterprise (both BP)



MOORED ADCPs



FAST EDDY 2

transiting northern edge of Loop Current (Eddy Franklin)



Surface Currents NOAA/IOOS

HF RADAR





Array of offshore buoys maintained by TGLO, important for monitoring coastal flow off Texas (Buoy R)

TABS BUOYS



Winds – Modeled and Observed

	·							1.			2.1	1.1		1.1	1 =
لو الو الو الو الو الو الو الو الو الو ا					2.2	1.1			1.1	11	1.1		1.1	N.X.	$\chi^{(1)}$
یو اور اور اور اور اور اور اور اور اور او				11	1.1			. 1	5.5	55	2.1	11	1. 8	1.1	χ., -
								. dr		<u> </u>	11	1.1	1.1	XX.	χ
				11	11		1.		11	11	14	i t	τŤ.	τt.	1
a the second	1111					2	R.	11	÷ .	14	3 1	44	主告	九九	£.
a the second s				25		1	1	ê 4.	2. 1	4.4	1.1	19	2.2	JA IN	X.
e	·			* * 1	8 8 8	11	1	À 4.	1 4	44	44	44	r in m	7. 7.	k.
et the the second secon		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1	66	1 1 3	1 1	1	5 1	未名	\$ \$	44	11	11	T T	1
11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		8 8 4	10/0/	WW	6/8/8	10/01	101	1.	11	4 4	* *	11	11	11	λ.
W with the second second the	8 8 8 9 M	1. 10	EV1	18/1	1/1/1	1 1	1 al	1	44	<u> </u>	44	<u> </u>	44	11	<u>Ť</u>
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	111	1 al a	1 al a	alala	ala!	1/11	1 11 1	N N	林林	44	本本	11	11	Ά.
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	264	660	N.S.	alal	ala!	CAN N	1 10 1	N N I	NN	作作	44	生生	11	Ϊí.
	1992-	444	12	X	al al	(ala)	ala)	NN N	N JA J	IN IN	NN.	NN.	11	<u> 1 1 1</u>	31.1
		16 16 16	661	X (* *	Call al	(alla)	ala	ala)	N/A/	N. M. I	ŇŇ.	N'N'	植植	N N I	N 7
	199	ルカチ	124	1 1 1	69	a la la	al al	alla.	ala	141	1112	N'N'	N'N'A	8° N' 1	L''
and the second sec	1	1993	h / J	11)	1-1	R. U	al id	717	ala	1616	N/A/	CML	61°N'' N	1942	$\gamma\gamma_B$
17		1	4 A S	1 1 ;	11	68.	C.C.	ほじ	14 14	XIX.	1818	1414	NIAL	li VI W	$ \psi $
A TATATATATATATATA	EEE.	1	4 4 3	1 1	44	66,	C. K.	NP	1. 1.1	414	1/1/	(k l h	14141	14141	1111
SECTION SCIENCES	eter.	200	196	1 11	44.	117	6 6 1	1.1	1 J. D	11/1	الجالج	A/ K	14/1/	1414	141
	8888	22	495	1 11	$\psi \psi_{1}$	6 1 1	(F 8	19.1	N. N	16,11	14/4	المراكم	1 & 1 &	1 k k.	14
11111111111111111111111111111111111111			to to .	1. 14	1 11 1	1 1 7	1.8	160	N.I	<u>1 1</u>	71,71	<u>11 11</u>	للمالم	<u>) h h</u>	ΥŲ.
		a sea	As	1 H	1 11 1	1 1 ;	1 1	11	197	$\mathcal{T}\mathcal{J}$	ルル	11,11	I'd I'd	I'a I'a	14
a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a		alala	A M	6 16 1	1 11 11	1 1 ;	1 1	11	1 1	173	$\mathcal{N}\mathcal{D}$	11. I	n.n	11.12	172
<u>CEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE</u>	122	20 20 20 m	A A.	164	1 1 1	A A	11	1.	1.1	18.	$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{K})$	\mathcal{N}	£.U.)	12.77	272
<u>CEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE</u>	n na a a a a a	1. 20 m	NA A	1 21 1	1 11 2	44	11	11	16.4	1. 1.	6.16.1	e_{ik}	C. U. A	U.N. V	2.7
<u>EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE</u>	CCZZ -	n a a	666		16.	44	K K	11	1.1	1.18	619-19	2.06.18	6.16.18	1.6.6	1.10
ちんちきりょう リックション・シューティー	Externed no.	ann	1 8 8	18	14	11	KY.	66	18	14	1 1 3	\$ T ;	1 8 1	1. 2. 14	W.
マントレントレリリションションションションション	マリリリン	u a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	222	L.Y.	J. I.,	1 V.	r Y.	K.K.	66	44	f f.	18	d de :	1 2	1
しんちくくく シー・シー・シー・シー・シー・シー・シー・シー・	alala	uu	19. U.	Th	1.I.u	('	1 J. I	Ň	64	1	14	1.1	14	11	Nº.
オンレルオント ト リ ロ ム ム ム ム ム ム ム ム ム ム ム ム ム ム ム ム ム ム	ana an	ししし	UN.	7.I.u	l I u	11	N	N	£. C. C.	11	11	11	11	Ľ,	1
ごうちょう オオメリリー しんんんんんん オオト	ロレオル	1.00	UUr	1.6 u	l b l a	リレ	10	N	10	1.	11.	1.1.	11.	1/4	10
UNN WITTAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	はんせん	9.9.4	<u> </u>	144	1.0	1 N /	10	N	20	2 a	12 4	16 4	164	1 a	1
WWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWW	レオオル	644	664	100	11	10	64	12	u u	u u	64	64	64	11	1
もつわん ちょうちょうちょうちょう くう こうこう	しんりしょう	にんにん	K K 11	1 1 1	11	11	64	12	12	28	18	64	11,	11	X.
わけんはんちちちちちちちちょう コンコン	オイドド	にんり	111	14	11.	11	66	17	17	17	11	41	11	11	X
おおおちちちちちちちちちちちょう コンコンプロ	オイトト	1 H JA JA	K K H	1 1 ,	11	64.	64.	11	17	11	18	11	11	18	X
いいいいい ひちょう ひょうちょう ひょうちょう	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 4 1	1 1 1	11;	11	111	64.	11	111	11	11	11	11	11	N.
ろうろう ちちちちち ちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちちち	にんにんし	111	111	14.	11	11.1	140	1	11	1 1	14	11	1	11	1

Oil Observations NESDIS Analysis

Daily NOAA Overflights (Venice, Mobile, Clearwater, occasionally Houma)

Antectodal information from other aerial observer overflights

ASPECT reports

Dash-8 SLAR

Ocean Imaging flight data Ship Observations (email)









NESDIS

Analysis of images from several different satellites. Analysts throw out anomolies that are inconsistent with observations or physical drivers. When requested, analysts provide input on areas where no anomolies are observed (i.e., Florida Shelf). Footprint surrounds anomolous area.

Cannot differentiate between what is "oil" and what is sheen. All will appear within the footprint. If oil does not sheen (i.e., tarballs or highly weathered patches) it will not show up.



This is an experimental product of the Satellite Analysis Branch and not operationally maintained. We will do our best to make it available in a timely manner.

Also available at: www.ssd.noaa.gov/PS/MPS/deepwater.html

Next . . .

All quality data go into the model

Analyst chooses best current model based on matching observational data, most other current models are also run and used as part of the "uncertainty"

Model is run, results are put into distributable standard format





Which of these pieces are in place in the Arctic? How reliable are they? How accessible are they?

Current models

Wind models and obs

Ice models/obs (type, coverage, forecast movement) Moored ADCPs

HF Radar

Quick-deploy vessels with current profiling and other oceanographic equipment

Satellite imagery (interference with ice returns?)



Key zones in the Gulf of Mexico (Jochens et al 2005)

FS = Florida Straits

YC = Yucatan Channel

D/R = Decay and remineralization

R=Respiration

Ph = Photosynthesis



The well is located in **Antarctic Intermediate Water** (AAW).

Data SIO, NOAA, U.S. Navy, NGA, GEBCO Image © 2010 TerraMetrics Image USDA Farm Service Agency Image © 2010 DigitalGlobe 19°30'45.14" N 59°49'08.05" W elev -14963 f



Google

0

How Did the Water Move?





NGOM: NOAA Gulf of Mexico Model

Assuming simple isopycnal flow



F

Subsurface Current Models

NOAA: NGOM – used in operational forecasts, but needed corrections to the barotropic fields

SABGOM – being used in an NSF Rapid grant project for hindcast.





US DDC | NOAA | NOS | NOAA Office of Response & Restoration Disclaimer | Privacy policy | Email comments Coastal Response Research Center ©2007 - 2010 University of New Hampshire

NOAA Update: Science Advisory Board

ERMA | Environmental Response Management Application Gulf of Mexico



Deepwater Horizon MC 252 Incident

Cumulative Preliminary Subsurface DO Data (03-Aug-10 to 30-Sep-10)

- Background Level
- Weak, Very Weak (> 0 0.5 mg/l Below Background)
- Moderate (> 0.5 1 mg/l Below Background)
- Strong (> 1mg/l Below Background)
- 🔴 Hypoxia
 - Very Weak

Weak

TN Scale: 1: 3M

Zoom Level: 7

US DOC | NOAA | NOS | NOAA Office of Response & Restoration Disclaimer | Privacy policy | Email comments

Coastal Response Research Center @2007 - 2010 University of New Hampshire







Location: 28.30438°,-85.18799°

Coastal Response Research Center @2007 - 2010 University of New Hampshire

ALC: NO. DOOLT

Statistical Analysis – Where could the oil go based on historical data?

Trajectory Analysis Planner (TAP) – essentially the NOAA tactical model (GNOME) run 500 times

Scenario based – scenarios started within a 15 year climatological history of winds and currents

Scenario distribution, time of travel and relative volume kept track of and summarized to generate statistics Percentages indicate number of scenarios from incident location that impact shoreline areas based on historical winds and currents. Not ALL areas will be impacted, only one scenario will be the final one, statistics are based on 500 scenarios



(assumes 90 day release)

Gulf of Mexico currents are very dynamic, the Eastern Gulf of Mexico is impacted by the Loop Current. While the Loop Current remained south of incident area, oil getting into the Loop Current could transit long distances quickly.



Model Setup

Analysis of several different current and wind data sources (NOAA (NGOM), Navy (IANSF, NCOM), MMS, NASA (Leo Oey))

Preliminary runs with three different sets of data

Model review of data/approach – Texas A&M, MMS, NOAA, Navy, Scripps, TGLO, BP

Final data set selected, runs completed



Example Individual Scenarios



Overview of Modeling Activities to Support Response

Tactical (72 hour) forecasts - surface

5 day outlook/2-week forecast

Operations 48 hour forecast - subsurface

Statistical Analysis

Considerations for Success

Coordination of observations and forecasting/modeling

Planning/Engagement prior to incident

Continuing Challenges

Data and model assimilation/integration

Deep blowout dynamics/droplet size distribution

Understanding and communicating uncertainty

Questions?

www.deepwaterhorizonresponse.com

➢<u>www.restorethegulf.gov</u>

www.geoplatform.gov/gulfresponse

www.noaa.gov/sciencemissions/bpoilspill.html

>www.response.restoration.noaa.gov

www.Deepwaterhorizon.neaa.gov

>www.epa.gov/bpspill

www.boemre.gov/DeepwaterHorizon.htm

<u>debbie.payton@noaa.gov</u> or (206) 526-6320

NOAA Update: Science Advisory Board